**Financial Administrative Penalties**

**Consultation Response Form**

Name \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Address \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Organisation (if applicable) \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Telephone No. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Email Address. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

What is your involvement in the fishing industry?­­\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Questions:**

1. Do you agree that the proposal to extend the offer of FAPS as an alternative to court for domestic offences meets the original principles set out in Section B.3?

Yes  No

2. Do you think the criteria by which we consider whether or not a FAP should be offered for domestic offences are the right ones (see Section B.7)?

Yes  No

3. Do you agree:

(i) That the list of serious offences which would be referred directly to court, e.g. obstruction, is complete (see Section B.9)?

Yes  No

(ii) That the proposed penalty levels for each offence category are appropriate (see Table 2)?

Yes  No

(iii) That the financial penalties provide a sufficient deterrent?

Yes  No

(iv) That the financial penalties for second offences are double that given for the first offence?

Yes  No

(v) With how we have categorized the offences (see Table 2)?

Yes  No

1. Do you support increasing the maximum penalty that we are able to offer to an offender before referring to court to £10,000 e.g. in circumstances such as multiple offences? Or would you prefer to retain the current system whereby the value of an offence which exceeds £4000 are referred to the courts?

Yes  No

1. Is the proposed system easily understood?

Yes  No

1. Do you think the proposed system will work well?

Yes  No

Please give your reasons?

|  |
| --- |
|  |

1. Do you have any comments on the (attached) Partial Regulatory Impact Assessment?

|  |
| --- |
|  |

1. We would like to know your views on the effects that that this proposal could have on the Welsh language, specifically on opportunities for people to use Welsh and on treating the Welsh language no less favourably than English.

What effects do you think there would be? How could positive effects be increased, or negative effects be mitigated?

|  |
| --- |
|  |

1. We have asked a number of specific questions. If you have any related issues which we have not specifically addressed, or suggested improvements, please use this space to report them:

|  |
| --- |
|  |

1. Responses to consultations are likely to be made public, on the internet or in a report. If you would prefer your response to remain anonymous, please tick here: